

Learning from *Armstrong*: Preparing Officers for Interaction with Persons with Mental Illness

Ron Bruno Ken Wallentine

April 13, 2016

About Lexipol

- We help law enforcement agencies reduce liability and effectively manage their policy content
- 150+ state-specific policies and daily training
- Automatic policy updates
- Easy-to-use, web-based platform and mobile app
- Used by more than 2,400 public safety agencies in
 28 states

www.lexipol.com



Disclaimer

The materials provided in this webinar are for general informational and educational purposes only. The materials are not intended to be and should not be considered legal advice or opinions. You should not act upon any information presented without first seeking legal counsel on your specific matter.



Presenters



Ron Bruno
Director
CTS Services
CIT Utah, Inc.
2nd Vice President
CIT International



Chief Ken Wallentine

Director

Utah Attorney General

Training Center

Senior Legal Advisor, Lexipol



Managing the Risks of Force

- Police frequently encounter persons with mental illness in "tense, uncertain and rapidly evolving circumstances"
- Qualified immunity often stops the use of force lawsuit
- Emerging legal theories and shifts in courts' views of force on mentally ill persons





The ADA and Police Use of Force

- San Francisco v. Sheehan
- Could the ADA ever apply to the officer on the street?
 - If so, must we train officers to "accommodate" a mental illness when dealing with a possibly mentally ill person?
- Ducking the question leaves it open for another day, maybe in your agency



Courts Looking More Closely

- Armstrong v. Village of Pinehurst
- Mentally ill subject stopped taking medication
- He left the ER and began to eat grass and wander in traffic
- Wrapped his arms around a post
- ECD used 5 times
- Armstrong died at the scene



Armstrong's Policy Prescriptions

- Primary message: Teach officers to reasonably assess the need for force (and how to report it)
- Consider factors beyond the Graham v. Connor three-factor test
 - Subject's mental state, officer/subject factors, availability of other options, risk and foreseeable consequences of escape, need for immediate control, potential of injury





What training should our officers have?

















Instructional Topics CIT Academy

- In-Depth Instruction on Mental Health Didactics
 - Severe, persistent, mental illness
 - Mood and thought disorders
 - Youth and adolescence mental health issues
 - Cognitive disorders
 - PTSD
 - Suicide
 - Substance abuse and co-occurring disorders
 - Psychopharmacology

- Legal Issues
 - Civil commitment laws
 - Liability issues
 - Policies and procedures
 - Dispositions
- De-escalation Training
 - Skills and tactics
 - Scenario-based training
- Site Visits / Consumer Interaction
 - Treatment centers, ER
 - Emergency crisis unit
 - Psychiatric hospital
 - Community services and supports



Instructional Topics De-escalation Course

- Introduction to Mental Health Didactics
 - Major psychiatric disorders
 - Personality disorders
 - Cognitive disabilities
 - Substance use
 - Treatment
- De-escalation Training
 - Skills and tactics
 - Scenario-based training



De-escalation Training

What instruction should be included?

• Instruction to develop the ability to identify signs, symptoms and presentations that will allow the officer to understand that they may be dealing with an illness and not just noncompliance.



Signs, Symptoms and Presentations

- Sign
 - Something an officer can see or sense
- Symptom
 - A sensation someone is feeling that is relayed to the officer
- Presentation
 - Overall condition of the situation



De-escalation Training

What instruction should be included?

 Instruction to allow the officer to understand the reduction in the effectiveness of their trained tactics.



Reduction in Effectiveness of Trained Tactics

- An understanding of what a person in a crisis is experiencing that causes the reduction in effectiveness
- Possible mindset of a person in crisis
- The importance of maintaining safety, including officer safety, by slowing things down and utilizing all de-escalation skills before escalating force



De-escalation Training

What instruction should be included?

 Instruction to provide the officer skills to attempt to de-escalate an emotionally charged situation prior to escalating the use of force



De-escalation Skills

Active Listening

Voice Control

Body Language

Positioning





Questions?



Xiphos

- Edited by Chief Ken Wallentine, Lexipol Senior Legal Advisor
- Provides monthly updates on the most recent court decisions
- Read by thousands of officers and public safety leaders

Subscribe free of charge: kwallentine@lexipol.com

Or connect on LinkedIn:

https://www.linkedin.com/pub/kenwallentine/27/9b4/a12



March 2016

Welcome to the new format for Xiphos! In this month's issue we look at the pitfalls of using "form" language when preparing warrants, and we delve into two cases that test the limits of curtilage and the impact those limits can have on admissibility of evidence. Finally, don't miss our upcoming webinar, Preparing Officers for Interaction with Persons with Mental Illness. Thank you for subscribing!

Beware "cut and paste" when preparing a warrant

Every investigator does it: preparing a search warrant by including some paragraphs recycled from a previous warrant. For some of us, that meant pecking at a typewriter while copying from an old carbon copy. Today, it's cut and paste from a Word file. Shortcuts are great—unless they short-circuit the admissibility of evidence.

Wheeler was a headmaster at a private school. As a young teacher at another school, he lived with a family that boarded students. Wheeler sexually abused some of the boys. Years later, after the Jerry Sandusky story became public, one young man decided to tell his brothers about being abused by Wheeler when they were younger. One brother shared that Wheeler also abused him.

Read more

"No Trespassing" signs didn't bar efforts to knock-and-talk

Investigators received several tips that Carloss, a previously convicted felon, was possibly holding a machine gun and was selling methamphetamine from his home. Two investigators went to Carloss' home. Four signs were posted around the home: a "No Trespassing" sign on a 3-foothigh post by the driveway, "Private Property No Trespassing," nailed to a tree, and signs on a wooden pole in the front yard and on the front door of the house, both stating "Posted Private Property Hunting, Fishing, Trapping or Trespassing for Any Purpose Is Strictly Forbidden Violators Will Be Prosecuted."

Read more



For More Information

www.lexipol.com/mental-illness-webinar

- -Sample Crisis Intervention Incidents Policy
- -Daily Training Bulletin focused on the Armstrong case
- -Presentation slides

Questions?

Shannon Pieper, Director of Marketing Communications 949/276-9938 • spieper@lexipol.com

